Special AT-rich Binding Protein-2 (SATB2) Differentially Affects Disease-causing p63 Mutant Proteins*

Received for publication, June 14, 2011, and in revised form, September 27, 2011 Published, JBC Papers in Press, September 30, 2011, DOI 10.1074/jbc.M111.271189

Jacky Chung^{\pm §1}, R. Ian Grant[§], David R. Kaplan^{\pm §2}, and Meredith S. Irwin^{\pm ¶|**³}

From the Departments of [‡]Molecular Genetics, [¶]Medical Biophysics, and ^{||}Laboratory Medicine and Pathobiology, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario M5S 1A8, Canada and the [§]Cell Biology Program, Division of Hematology/Oncology and **Department of Paediatrics, Hospital for Sick Children Research Institute, Toronto, Ontario M5G 1L7, Canada

p63, a p53 family member, is critical for proper skin and limb development and directly regulates gene expression in the ectoderm. Mice lacking p63 exhibit skin and craniofacial defects including cleft palate. In humans p63 mutations are associated with several distinct developmental syndromes. p63 sterile- α motif domain, AEC (ankyloblepharon-ectodermal dysplasiaclefting)-associated mutations are associated with a high prevalence of orofacial clefting disorders, which are less common in EEC (ectrodactyly-ectodermal dysplasia-clefting) patients with DNA binding domain p63 mutations. However, the mechanisms by which these mutations differentially influence p63 function remain unclear, and interactions with other proteins implicated in craniofacial development have not been identified. Here, we show that AEC p63 mutations affect the ability of the p63 protein to interact with special AT-rich binding protein-2 (SATB2), which has recently also been implicated in the development of cleft palate. p63 and SATB2 are co-expressed early in development in the ectoderm of the first and second branchial arches, two essential sites where signaling is required for craniofacial patterning. SATB2 attenuates p63-mediated gene expression of perp (p53 apoptosis effector related to PMP-22), a critical downstream target gene during development, and specifically decreases p63 perp promoter binding. Interestingly, AEC but not EEC p63 mutations affect the ability of p63 to interact with SATB2 and the inhibitory effects of SATB2 on p63 transactivation of perp are most pronounced for AEC-associated p63 mutations. Our findings reveal a novel gain-of-function property of AEC-causing p63 mutations and identify SATB2 as the first p63 binding partner that differentially influences AEC and EEC p63 mutant proteins.

p63, a member of the p53 family of transcription factors, encodes multiple isoforms that arise from alternative splicing and transcription from two distinct promoters (1, 2). The latter mechanism generates transactivating (TA)⁴ or dominant negative (ΔN) p63 proteins (1, 2). TAp63 proteins possess typical

p53-like properties whereas Δ Np63 are incapable of inducing characteristic p53 target genes and can also inhibit TAp53 family members (1, 2).

In addition to inhibiting TAp53 family proteins, $\Delta Np63$ can also activate transcription of a distinct subset of genes, including *integrin-β4*, *dlx3/5/6*, *p57Kip2*, and *claudin-1* (3–7). These genes have been implicated in early embryonic patterning processes and ectodermal development. Consistent with these observations, p63^{-/-} mice exhibit malformations in ectodermderived structures such as the skin and limbs that are not found in mice lacking the other p53 family members (8, 9). Furthermore, a majority of mice specifically lacking TAp63 die during embryonic development, and any remaining pups that are born exhibit multiple defects (3). A significant proportion of $TAp63^{-/-}$ animals develop blisters and ulcerations due to impaired wound healing (3). Hair follicle defects and cysts were also detected in the bladder, kidney, and stomach of $TAp63^{-/-}$ mice (3). Together, this suggests that TA- and $\Delta Np63\alpha$ -mediated gene activation is critically important during development.

Recently, *perp* (p53 apoptosis effector related to PMP-22) has been shown to be an important downstream target gene of *p63* during development (10). Originally identified as an apoptotic effector of p53, *perp* encodes a transmembrane protein that is involved in the formation of desmosomes that mediate cellular connections in stratified epithelia (10–13). Consistent with its role in apoptosis and tumor suppression, specific ablation of *perp* in the epidermis predisposes mutant mice to carcinoma development (14). However, the expression of *perp* is substantially diminished in the ectoderm of branchial arches of *p63^{-/-}* murine embryos as early as embryonic day 10 (E10) (10). *perp^{-/-}* mice exhibit extensive blistering reminiscent of the skin lacerations detected in *p63^{-/-}* as well as *TAp63^{-/-}* mice, supporting the notion that during embryonic development, *perp* is a p63 target gene (3, 8–10).

In humans, germ line p63 mutations are associated with a group of autosomal dominant, ectodermal disorders that are characterized by abnormalities similar to those observed in $p63^{-/-}$ mice (15). A genotype-phenotype correlation for p63 mutations has been reported (15). p63 mutations that map to the DNA binding domain are associated with EEC (ectrodacty-ly-ectodermal dysplasia-clefting) syndrome, whereas mutations in the SAM (sterile- α -motif) domain, which is only found in the longest α isoforms of p63, are associated with AEC (anky-



^{*} This work was supported by Canadian Cancer Society Grant 018054.

¹ Recipient of a doctoral Canada graduate scholarship from the Canadian Institutes of Health Research.

² Canada Research Chairs.

³ To whom correspondence should be addressed: MaRS Centre TMDT East Tower, Rm. 12-601C, 101 College St., Toronto, ON M5G 1L7, Canada. Fax: 416-813-5327; E-mail: meredith.irwin@sickkids.ca.

⁴ The abbreviations used are: TA, transactivating; AEC, ankyloblepharon-ectodermal dysplasia-clefting; ΔN, dominant negative; E, embryonic day; EEC, ectrodactyly-ectodermal dysplasia-clefting; OD, oligomerization

domain; *perp*, p53 apoptosis effector related to PMP-22; SAM, sterile- α -motif; SATB2, special AT-rich binding protein-2.

loblepharon-ectodermal dysplasia-clefting) syndrome (16, 17). Notably, 80% of AEC patients exhibit orofacial clefting, whereas only 20% of EEC patients display this defect (15). However, the unique mechanisms underlying these disorders are poorly understood. Studies utilizing overexpression of p63 mutant proteins have demonstrated that EEC mutants are unable to activate known p53 family target genes, but AEC mutants retain transcriptional activity for a subset of genes, including the ability to induce *perp* (18). Because AEC p63 α mutations are associated with significant craniofacial defects despite intact transcriptional activity, it is likely that mutation-induced changes in p63 transcriptional activity alone cannot account for distinct AEC and EEC phenotypes and that additional factors exist that likely influence AEC mutant proteins (16, 18).

SATB2 (special AT-rich binding protein-2), a transcription factor that binds DNA in nuclear matrix attachment regions (19) influences gene expression both by orchestrating chromatin structure and by functioning as a transcriptional co-factor (20-22). SATB2^{-/-} mice have defects in bone development and osteoblast differentiation (22). In addition, $satb2^{-/-}$ mice and humans with loss-of-function satb2 mutations develop craniofacial abnormalities including orofacial clefting (22-25). Previously, we have shown that SATB2 and $\Delta Np63\alpha$ form an endogenous molecular complex in vivo, regulating proapoptotic target gene transcriptional activation and survival of cancer cells (26). Here, we show that unlike EEC TAp63 α , AEC TAp63 α -mediated transactivation function is inhibited by SATB2 and specifically attenuates the transactivation of perp, a gene involved in ectodermal development (10). This report provides the first evidence for a p 63α -binding protein that differentially influences AEC and EEC mutant proteins.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Hematoxylin and Eosin Staining— $p63^{+/-}$ animals were mated, and pregnant females were killed at E17.5. Following dissection, $p63^{+/+}$ and $p63^{-/-}$ embryos were decapitated, and the heads were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde overnight at 4 °C. Specimens were washed with 1× PBS twice for 30 min each, dehydrated in ethanol series, and washed with xylene. Heads were then embedded in wax, and 5- μ m sections were taken. Slides were then heated at 60 °C overnight.

Staining was performed by washing the slides in xylene and hydrating in ethanol series. Samples were then stained with hematoxylin for 10 min, 0.5% HCl in 70% ethanol for 10 s, and 1% lithium carbonate for 5 s with water washes in between each step. Slides were incubated in 30%, 50%, and 70% ethanol for 1 min each and then stained with 0.5% eosin solution in 70% ethanol for 5 min. Specimens were dehydrated in ethanol series, washed with xylene, and mounted with Permount.

Whole Mount in Situ Hybridization—Timed pregnant CD1 mice were purchased from Jackson Laboratories (Bar Harbor, ME). Following dissection, embryos were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde overnight at 4 °C. The next day, embryos were washed three times with $1 \times PBT$ (PBS with 0.1% Tween 20) and then 25%, 50%, and 75% methanol/PBT and methanol for 10 min each at 4 °C. The embryos were then bleached with 4:1 methanol/30% H₂O₂ for 6 h at room temperature in the dark, washed in methanol/PBT again, digested with 20 µg/ml pro-

teinase K, and refixed in a solution of 0.2% glutaraldehyde, 4% paraformaldehyde solution in PBS for 20 min at room temperature. Probe hybridization was performed by using 2.0 μ g/ml sense and antisense probes at 63 °C overnight with rocking in hybridization buffer (50% formamide, 0.75 м NaCl, 1×PE (10 mm PIPES, pH 6.8, 0.1 mm EDTA), 100 μg/ml tRNA, 0.05% heparin, and 1% SDS). Embryos were washed in WB1 (300 mM NaCl, $1 \times$ PE, and 1% SDS) twice for 30 min at 63 °C, WB1.5 (50 mM NaCl, $1 \times$ PE, and 0.1% SDS) twice for 30 min at 50 °C, and treated with RNase A for 1 h at 37 °C. Following RNase A digestion, embryos were washed with WB2 (50% formamide, 300 mM NaCl, $1 \times$ PE, and 1% SDS) for 30 min at 50 °C, WB3 (50% formamide, 150 mM NaCl, $1 \times$ PE, and 0.1% Tween 20) for 30 min at 50 °C, and WB4 (500 mM NaCl, $1 \times$ PE, and 0.1% Tween 20) twice for 5 min at room temperature and once for 20 min at 70 °C. Blocking was carried out for 1 h at room temperature (TBST, 2 mM levamisole, 10% heat- inactivated FBS) and incubated with anti-digoxigenin alkaline phosphatase antibody (1:3000) overnight at 4 °C. Embryos were washed with TBST containing 2 mM levamisole at room temperature several times and then twice with NTMT (100 mM Tris, pH 9.5, 100 mM NaCl, 50 mM MgCl₂, and 0.1% Tween 20) with 2 mM levamisole for 20 min each. Color development was started by added BM purple at room temperature and stopped with three washes of PBT containing 1 mM EDTA, pH 8. Specimens were washed in methanol/PBT series, refixed in 0.1% glutaraldehyde, 4% paraformaldehyde solution, and stored in PBT supplemented with 0.5 mM EDTA at 4 °C.

Sense and antisense probes were prepared by cloning the DNA binding domain of murine p63 into the pGem-T-Easy vector (Promega, Madison, WI). The constructs were linearized by digestion with SphI. 1 μ g of template was used in an *in vitro* transcription reaction with Sp6 RNA polymerase according to the manufacturer's instructions (Promega). Ethanol-precipitated RNA probes were dissolved in 50% formamide/Diethylpyrocarbonate water solution.

Confocal Immunofluorescence—E10 embryos were dissected, fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde overnight at 4 °C, and dehydrated in 30% sucrose overnight at 4 °C. Embryos were embedded in OCT embedding medium, and 16- μ m transverse sections were taken using the cryostat. Sections were dried at 37 °C for 10 min, fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde for 15 min, and treated with 10% BSA in 0.3% Triton-X/PBS solution for 1 h. Blocking and immunostaining were performed using the MOM kit (Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA) according to the manufacturer's instructions, counterstained with DAPI, and mounted using Permafluor.

Cells and Plasmids—SCC9, HEK293, and H1299 cells were cultured in DMEM (Invitrogen) supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (HyClone, Logan, UT) at 5% CO₂ at 37 °C. NIH 3T3 cells were cultured in DMEM with 10% heat-inactivated fetal calf serum (HyClone) under the same atmospheric conditions as above.

Plasmids encoding wild-type TA and $\Delta Np63\alpha$ as well as T7-SATB2 have been described previously (26). AEC- and EEC-associated p63 α mutants were generated using a QuikChange Site-directed Mutagenesis kit (Stratagene) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The *perp* lucifer-





FIGURE 1. **Cleft palate is observed in p63-knock-out mice.** Heads of E17.5 $p63^{+/+}$ or $p63^{-/-}$ embryos were dissected, and coronal (*A*) or transverse (*B*) sections were cut and stained with hematoxylin and eosin. *T*, tongue; *Mn*, mandible. *Black arrowheads* denote the secondary palate.

ase (pPerp-luc-PS) as well as the mutant (mutD) reporter plasmids were kind gifts from Dr. Laura Attardi.

Transfections and Lentivirus Infections—HEK293 and H1299 cells were transfected using calcium phosphate and polyethylenimine methods, respectively, as described previously (26). NIH 3T3 cells were transiently transfected using FuGENE6 transfection reagent (Roche Applied Science) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Production of lentivirus-expressing shGFP and two different shRNAs targeting SATB2 as well as infection of SCC9 cells were performed as described previously (26).

Chromatin Immunoprecipitations (ChIPs), Immunoprecipitations, Immunoblotting, and Luciferase Assays—ChIPs, immunoprecipitations, immunoblotting, and luciferase assays were performed as described previously (26).

Quantitative Reverse Transcription PCR—Quantitative RT-PCR was performed as described previously. The sequences for primers used for *perp* and *gapdh* are as follows: *perp*-forward, 5'-tcagagcctcatggagtacg-3'; *perp*-reverse, 5'-ccagggagatgatctggaac-3'; *gapdh*-forward, 5'-ctcaaggcatcctgggcta-3'; *gapdh*-reverse, 5'-ggaggagtgggtgtcgctgt-3'.

RESULTS

 $p63^{-/-}$ Mice Develop Clefting and Craniofacial Defects— Human p63 mutations are associated with craniofacial clefting (15). In $p63^{-/-}$ E17.5 embryos, craniofacial structures including the tongue and mandible were misshaped and hypoplastic (Fig. 1*A*). Importantly, the secondary palate in $p63^{-/-}$ embryos is absent (*black arrowheads*) (Fig. 1, *A* and *B*). This is consistent with previous reports showing that loss of p63 affects multiple signaling pathways implicated in craniofacial development including FGF, BMP, and Notch pathways (27, 28). In contrast, the primary palate in p63-knock-out embryos was morphologically normal (data not shown). This suggests that, similar to the developmental role of p63 in humans, p63 plays an essential role in craniofacial patterning and cleft palatogenesis in mice.

 $p63\alpha$ and SATB2 Are Co-expressed in the Ectoderm of the Branchial Arches—The severe craniofacial malformations in $p63^{-/-}$ mice suggest that p63 may play a role in early patterning. Therefore, we focused on determining the specific express-

sion of p63 from E9 to E12 because cell-cell signaling is critical as early as mid-gestation for craniofacial patterning (2, 29). A *p63* probe that recognizes all *p63* isoforms detected *p63* transcripts as early as E10 in the first and second branchial arches (Fig. 2*A*, *red arrows*), which are transient primitive organizing centers for craniofacial development (29). In addition, *p63* mRNA can also be detected in the apical ectodermal ridge, a patterning center for developing limbs (Fig. 2*A*, *red arrowheads*) (8, 9). Expression of *p63* becomes increasingly prominent in the brain as well as the epidermis starting at E11 and E12, respectively (Fig. 2, *C* and *D*, *red arrowheads*) (30, 31). Furthermore, p63 α protein, the longest p63 C-terminal isoform that has the SAM domain, is present in the nuclei of cells located in the outer ectoderm of the first branchial arch (Fig. 2, *B–D*).

We have shown previously that SATB2 forms a molecular complex with $\Delta Np63\alpha$ and augments its function to promote chemoresistance in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (26). Interestingly, heterozygous satb2 loss-of-function mutations in humans that are associated with craniofacial patterning abnormalities, including a cleft secondary palate, are also observed in $satb2^{-/-}$ mice (22–25). The similarities between SATB2- and p63-deficient phenotypes suggest that these proteins may also function in the same pathways during development. We detected nuclear SATB2 expression in the ectoderm by confocal immunofluorescence analysis (Fig. 2E). The SATB2 staining pattern overlapped with anti-p63 staining (Fig. 2E). An essential gene regulated by p63 during ectoderm development is perp (10, 18). ChIP analysis showed that overexpressed TA and $\Delta Np63\alpha$ (Fig. 2*F*) as well as endogenous SATB2 (Fig. 2*G*) can be detected on multiple sites of the *perp* promoter (Fig. 2G). Sequential ChIP/reChIP analysis confirmed that these two proteins are co-localized onto the *perp* promoter *in vivo* (Fig. 2*H*). These data suggest that SATB2 and p63 may co-regulate perp expression.

SATB2 Decreases Wild-type TAp63 α Binding to the perp Promoter and Inhibits p63-mediated perp Transactivation—Because SATB2 increases the DNA binding capability of Δ Np63 α to the promoters of apoptotic target genes in cancer cells (26),





FIGURE 2. **p63** α **and SATB2 are co-expressed during development.** *A*, whole mount *in situ* hybridization of wild-type embryos at E9, E10, E11, and E12. The *red arrowheads* at E10 denote the branchial arches and the limb buds, respectively. At E11, the *red arrowheads* highlight the neural staining. *B–D*, transverse sections of E10 embryos across the branchial arches (*B; red arrowheads*) stained with anti-p63 (*C*) or anti-p63 α (*D*) antibodies and analyzed by confocal immunofluorescence. *E*, similar sections co-stained with anti-pan-p63 and anti-SATB2-CT antibodies. *F*, NIH 3T3 cells transfected with TA or Δ Np63 α . ChIP (*IP*) analysis was performed on the *perp* promoter (*perp-1*). The *B*-actin gene promoter was used as a negative control. *G*, murine newborn P3 brains subjected to ChIP analysis using the indicated antibodies. PCR was performed interrogating three sites of the *perp* promoter. *H*, co-localization of SATB2 and p63 α onto the *perp* promoter (*perp-1* site) analyzed by ChIP/re-ChIP using the indicated antibodies. *Scale bars*, 10 μ m.

SBMB\



FIGURE 3. **SATB2** inhibits $p63\alpha$ -mediated *perp* activation. *A*, NIH 3T3 cells were transiently transfected with the indicated plasmids and quantitative real-time ChIP analysis was performed on the *perp* promoter (*perp-1* site). Values were normalized to the amount of input DNA that was present. Real-time ChIP was also performed on mock-transfected cells as a control. *B*, a luciferase reporter assay using the murine *perp* promoter was performed in H1299 cells transfected with TA or $\Delta Np63\alpha$. A mutant reporter (*MutD*) with a point mutation in the *perp* promoter was used as a negative control. *C*, increasing amounts of T7-SATB2 were co-transfected with TAp63\alpha into H1299 cells, and a *perp* luciferase reporter assay was performed. *D*, SCC9 cells were infected with lentivirus-expressing and shRNA targeting GFP or two different shRNAs directed against SATB2. Total RNA was extracted, and quantitative RT-PCR was performed using primers specific for *perp*. Values were normalized to *gapdh* housekeeper. *IB*, immunoblotting. *E*, SCC9 cells stably infected with lentivirus-expressing shGFP or shSATB2–2 were lysed, and Perp expression was analyzed by immunoblotting.

we predicted that SATB2 may have a similar effect on the localization of p63 α on the *perp* promoter. Real-time ChIP analysis performed on NIH 3T3 cells transiently transfected with TAp63 α or TAp63 α together with T7-SATB2 showed that T7-SATB2 increased DNA binding of TAp63 α onto the *noxa* promoter, another apoptotic target gene of the p53 family. Surprisingly, T7-SATB2 had the opposite effect on *perp* promoter occupancy because less TAp63 α was detected on the *perp* promoter in the presence of T7-SATB2 compared with TAp63 α alone (Fig. 3*A*). These results indicate that SATB2 differentially influences the ability of p63 α to interact with target gene promoters.

We next asked whether these changes in *perp* promoter binding had any effect on *perp* induction. As expected, expres-

sion of either TA or $\Delta Np63\alpha$ increased wild-type but not mutant *perp* promoter-driven luciferase activity (Fig. 3*B*). (Fig. 3*B*). Importantly, TAp63 α -mediated reporter transactivation was diminished in a dose-dependent manner by SATB2 (Fig. 3*C*). SATB2 had a similar effect on $\Delta Np63\alpha$ -mediated *perp* reporter activity (data not shown). Furthermore, lentivirus-mediated knockdown of endogenous SATB2 increased endogenous *perp* transcript levels (Fig. 3*D*) and Perp protein (Fig. 3*E*). These results suggest that SATB2 binding to TAp63 α attenuates the transcription of *perp*.

SATB2 Differentially Regulates Human Disease-associated AEC and EEC $p63\alpha$ Mutations—Because AEC-associated $p63\alpha$ mutations (Fig. 4A) and the loss of SATB2 have been linked to craniofacial defects, we asked whether SATB2 differentially





FIGURE 4. **AEC-associated, SAM domain, p63** α **mutations increase binding to SATB2.** *A*, mutations associated with EEC syndrome are found exclusively in the DNA binding domain of p63 whereas those associated with AEC syndrome map to the SAM domain of p63 α . A nonsense mutation leading to a premature stop codon upstream of the SAM domain has also been associated with AEC syndrome. *B–D*, various wild-type or mutant 3×FLAG- Δ Np63 α constructs were co-transfected with T7-SATB2, and lysates were immunoprecipitated (*IP*) with anti-FLAG antibodies. Bound proteins were separated on SDS-PAGE and analyzed by immunoblotting (*IB*). *E*, wild-type 3F- Δ Np63 α or 3F- Δ Np63 α mutants were *in vitro* translated together with wild-type T7- Δ Np63 α and immunoprecipitated with anti-FLAG or anti-T7 antibodies. F and *G*, HEK293 cells were co-transfected with the indicated expression plasmids. Lysates were immunoprecipitated with control (anti-HA) or anti-FLAG antibodies and blotted with anti-FLAG or anti-T7 antibodies.

interacts with and affects mutant p63 α proteins. Compared with wild-type p63 α , a panel of AEC-associated p63 α point mutants as well as a truncated p63 α lacking the SAM domain showed markedly increased binding to SATB2 (Fig. 4, *B* and *C*). Furthermore, EEC-associated p63 α harboring DNA binding domain mutations bound to SATB2 at a level similar to wild-

type $p63\alpha$ (Fig. 4*D*). Disruption of the p63 oligomerization domain (OD) by truncation (Fig. 4*C*, Δ CT mutant) or substitution of isoleucine 378 to proline (I378P) that abolishes p63 oligomerization (Fig. 4*E*) completely abrogated the interaction with SATB2 (Fig. 4*F*). These results suggest that a functional OD is required for p63 binding to SATB2 and that AEC p63 α



mutations in the SAM domain specifically affect SATB2 binding. We also tested whether SATB2 formed a complex with TAp63 β and TAp63 γ , two other C-terminal p63 isoforms that lack the SAM domain but retain the same OD (1, 2). T7-tagged SATB2 failed to co-precipitate with 3×FLAG-tagged TAp63 β or TAp63 γ , suggesting that SATB2 is a unique p63 α -binding protein (Fig. 4*G*) and that the SAM domain is not sufficient for SATB2 binding.

TAp63 α proteins harboring AEC-associated mutations retain the ability to induce gene expression (Fig. 5A) and perp promoter binding comparable with wild-type levels (Fig. 5B) (18). The steady-state level of TAp63 α AEC mutant proteins was lower than that of wild-type TAp63 α as published previously (18) and is, at least in part, due to decreased stability of the AEC mutant proteins (data not shown). In contrast, loss of the OD, either by truncation or point mutation (I378P), significantly abrogates reporter induction (Fig. 5C). This is consistent with previous work showing that oligomerization is required for p53 family proteins to induce gene expression (32, 33). Given the increased binding of SATB2 to AEC p63 α mutants, we next asked whether SATB2 affects AEC p63 α -mediated perp transactivation. Introduction of increasing amounts of SATB2 led to a further decline in reporter activity and, at the highest dose, TAp63 α -(G530V) transactivation was inhibited by SATB2 to near basal levels (Fig. 5D). Similar results were obtained using a second AEC TAp63 α -(Q536L) mutant (Fig. 5e). Importantly, the expression level of wild-type and AEC mutant TAp63 α proteins was similar, indicating that inhibition of transcription activity was not due to SATB2 effects on p63 α protein stability. Together, these results suggest that AEC-associated TAp63 α proteins possess a novel gain-of-function property. These mutants more readily interact with SATB2 and, in turn, SATB2 exerts a dominant negative effect on AECassociated TAp63 α proteins, rendering these proteins transcriptionally inert.

DISCUSSION

Genetic studies in mice have shown that p63 is indispensible for proper development. Loss of p63 is associated with several developmental abnormalities including skin and hair defects as well as orofacial clefting (15, 27, 34, 35). In humans, p63 mutations have been associated with a spectrum of ectodermal dysplasias that are also characterized by similar defects found in $p63^{-/-}$ mice (36). All of these syndromes are sporadic; although rare familial cases have been documented. Only one defective copy of the p63 gene in the germ line is sufficient to cause sporadic disease, and familial cases all exhibit an autosomal dominant mode of inheritance. This suggests that disease-causing p63 mutations either result from loss-of-function haploinsufficiency or represent gain-of-function mutations.

Interestingly, distinct missense mutations in p63 are associated with different ectodermal dysplasia syndromes (15). Mutations in the DNA binding domain are most often detected in individuals with EEC syndrome whereas mutations in patients with AEC syndrome localize to the SAM domain (16, 17). Phenotypically, AEC patients differ from EEC in that they have a much higher incidence of orofacial clefting and display defects in eyelid morphogenesis and hair growth (15). Although this

SATB2 Inhibits a Subset of p63 Mutants

suggests that EEC and AEC mutations have variable effects on p63 α function, the mechanisms by which they interfere with p63 α function are unknown. Using protein modeling, EEC mutations found in the p63 DNA binding domain are believed to abrogate DNA binding (16). In support, many of the p63 residues mutated in EEC syndrome are conserved in p53 and are the most common tumor-derived mutations that abolish p53 folding and DNA binding (16, 37). Studies have also shown that AEC mutations completely disrupt folding of the SAM domain, indicating that although its biological functions remain unknown, SAM domains of p63 harboring AEC mutations have significant perturbations in three-dimensional structural (38). Additional molecular analysis has revealed that the SAM domains of p63 α and p73 α do not mediate oligomerization, which is a function typically associated with SAM domains in other proteins such as the Ephrin family of receptor tyrosine kinases (39). An alternative hypothesis is that the SAM domains in the α variants of p63 and p73 mediate binding to unidentified proteins and that mutations within the SAM domain influence these interactions. However, to date, very few candidate p63-binding proteins have been identified. The E3 ubiquitin ligase Itch degrades p63, and one p63 AEC mutant (I549T) disrupts the Itch binding motif (40). However, the vast majority of EEC- and AEC-associated mutant p63 proteins bind to and are degraded by Itch, suggesting that additional factors exist that can discriminate among various $p63\alpha$ mutant proteins (40, 41).

Here, we show that AEC p63 mutations specifically affect the ability of the p63 α protein to interact with SATB2, which has recently also been implicated in the development of cleft palate. This is the first p63-binding partner that differentially influences AEC and EEC p63 mutant proteins. SATB2 and p63 α are co-expressed in the ectoderm during embryonic development at a time in which craniofacial patterning is initiated (42). Both SATB2 and p63 α co-localize onto the *perp* promoter, a gene that is a critical developmental downstream target of p63 (10). Compared with wild-type p63 α and EEC p63 α missense mutations, AEC p63 α missense mutations affect the ability of p63 α to bind to SATB2. This increased interaction results in enhanced SATB2-mediated inhibition of TAp63α AEC mutant transcriptional activity, rendering them functionally incompetent. This represents a novel gain-of-function property of AEC p63 α mutants. These results provide mechanistic insight into the differences between AEC and EEC and may help explain why AEC syndrome clinically present with an autosomal dominant mode of inheritance.

Our mapping experiments revealed that disruption of the $p63\alpha$ OD, either by truncation or point mutation, abrogates the ability of $p63\alpha$ to bind SATB2. This indicates that $p63\alpha$ oligomerization is either required for SATB2 interaction and/or the SATB2 binding motif on $p63\alpha$ is formed by multiple molecules. A similar mechanism of protein-protein interactions has been recently proposed for the p53 OD that constitutes a direct binding interface for two proteins, Cul7 and PARC, and this binding surface is formed by at least two p53 molecules (43). In contrast to the effects of OD disruption, the complete loss of the $p63\alpha$ C terminus downstream of the OD resulted in a dramatic increase in the ability of $p63\alpha$ to interact with SATB2, suggesting that an





FIGURE 5. **SATB2** has a dominant negative effect on AEC-p63 α mutants. *A*, a luciferase reporter assay was performed using the *perp* promoter with the indicated TAp63 α mutants in H1299 cells (n = 3, mean \pm S.E. (*error bars*)). *B*, NIH3T3 cells were transfected with the indicated expression plasmids, and ChIP analysis was performed on the *perp-1* site in the *perp* promoter. *C*, *perp* luciferase assay was performed with the indicated TAp63 α expression plasmids in H1299 cells (representative experiment, mean \pm S.D.). *D* and *E*, T7-SATB2 was co-transfected, at increasing amounts, with an expression plasmid encoding TAp63 α G530V (*D*) or TAp63 α Q536L and a *perp* luciferase reporter assay was performed (*E*). *IB*, immunoblot.

ASBMB

intact SAM domain may partially block the SATB2 binding site on p63 α . One possible explanation for these data is that there is an intramolecular interaction between the SAM and OD of p63 α . Consistent with this notion, structural studies carried out on Cep-1 (Caenorhabditis elegans p53, the only known p53 homolog in *C. elegans*) revealed that a region in its C terminus folds into a domain resembling that of vertebrate $p63\alpha$ (as well as p73 α) SAM domain (44). Notably, the putative Cep-1 SAM domain folded back onto its OD to stabilize its dimerization (44). Further, we could not detect an interaction between SATB2 with TAp63 β or TAp63 γ , which possess the same OD as TAp63 α , suggesting that the OD is required but not sufficient for the interaction with SATB2. Notably, p63 β and p63 γ are proteins that possess unique coding sequences downstream of the OD that are not found in α variants and may influence the overall structure of these proteins (45). In accord, a recent report indicates that under unstressed conditions, TAp63 α predominantly exists as dimers and not tetramers as is the case with other p63 isoforms (46). Our data provide additional evidence to indicate that the C terminus of the α isoforms of the p53 family are structurally distinct and function in conjunction with the OD. Further work is required to elucidate the molecular basis for the co-operativity between the OD and SAM domains in mammalian p63 α and p73 α and how that may be important in human disease as AEC-associated mutations cause significant SAM domain instability and unfolding (47).

Previous work has shown that SATB2 can function as a cofactor to regulate gene expression in osteogenesis (22) and SATB family proteins can act as both co-repressors and co-activators in a context-dependent manner (19, 22, 26). Accordingly, we have demonstrated previously that SATB2 augments the trans-repression function of $\Delta Np63\alpha$ in cancer cells by increasing $\Delta Np63\alpha$ DNA binding onto the promoters of apoptotic target genes (26). However, our findings indicate that the effects of SATB2 on p63 may be more complex and context-dependent. We unexpectedly found that although SATB2 increased TAp63 α binding onto the *noxa* promoter, it decreased TAp63 α DNA binding onto the *perp* promoter. Thus, in addition to influencing the affinity of DNA binding, SATB2 can also direct DNA binding specificity. This modulatory effect has never been reported previously for any p53 family member and, in part, explains the SATB2-mediated inhibitory effect on wild-type as well as AEC-mutant TAp63 α perp transactivation.

How can SATB2 differentially regulate $p63\alpha$ binding onto the *perp* promoter and proapoptotic genes? The OD is necessary for $p63\alpha$ to interact with SATB2, and it is tempting to speculate that SATB2 may regulate oligomerization of $p63\alpha$ and, thus, DNA binding specificity. Studies have shown that under certain conditions, the OD of p63 and p73 has a greater propensity to exist as dimeric rather than tetrameric complexes more typical of the p53 family (46, 48). It would be of significant interest to determine whether SATB2 influences oligomerization of wild-type and AEC-associated $p63\alpha$ proteins and whether that has an impact on DNA sequence recognition and target gene regulation.

Although changes in p63 DNA recognition may simply be the result of altered oligomerization states, a second possibility that may account for this result is that SATB2 may influence the physical landscape of the genome. This may serve to restrict or permit p63 access to target gene promoters. Previous *in vitro* studies have shown that the position of p53-REs within a nucleosome affects p53 binding, suggesting that the architecture of packed chromatin can influence p53 family function (49). Furthermore, activation of p53 *in vivo* resulted in p53-dependent loss of nucleosomal organization surrounding p53-REs in the *p21* promoter, indicating that p53 can also elicit changes in chromatin packing (49). Whether this is the case for SATB2 or p63 is unknown and warrants further investigation.

Acknowledgments—We thank members of the Irwin and Kaplan laboratories and Drs. Brent Derry and Alan Davidson for technical assistance and insightful discussions.

REFERENCES

- 1. Melino, G., De Laurenzi, V., and Vousden, K. H. (2002) *Nat. Rev. Cancer* **2**, 605–615
- 2. Yang, A., and McKeon, F. (2000) Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. 1, 199-207
- Su, X., Paris, M., Gi, Y. J., Tsai, K. Y., Cho, M. S., Lin, Y. L., Biernaskie, J. A., Sinha, S., Prives, C., Pevny, L. H., Miller, F. D., and Flores, E. R. (2009) *Cell Stem Cell* 5, 64–75
- Carroll, D. K., Carroll, J. S., Leong, C. O., Cheng, F., Brown, M., Mills, A. A., Brugge, J. S., and Ellisen, L. W. (2006) *Nat. Cell Biol.* 8, 551–561
- Lopardo, T., Lo Iacono, N., Marinari, B., Giustizieri, M. L., Cyr, D. G., Merlo, G., Crosti, F., Costanzo, A., and Guerrini, L. (2008) *PloS One* 3, e2715
- Lo Iacono, N., Mantero, S., Chiarelli, A., Garcia, E., Mills, A. A., Morasso, M. I., Costanzo, A., Levi, G., Guerrini, L., and Merlo, G. R. (2008) *Development* 135, 1377–1388
- Radoja, N., Guerrini, L., Lo Iacono, N., Merlo, G. R., Costanzo, A., Weinberg, W. C., La Mantia, G., Calabrò, V., and Morasso, M. I. (2007) *Development* 134, 13–18
- Mills, A. A., Zheng, B., Wang, X. J., Vogel, H., Roop, D. R., and Bradley, A. (1999) *Nature* 398, 708–713
- Yang, A., Schweitzer, R., Sun, D., Kaghad, M., Walker, N., Bronson, R. T., Tabin, C., Sharpe, A., Caput, D., Crum, C., and McKeon, F. (1999) *Nature* 398, 714–718
- Ihrie, R. A., Marques, M. R., Nguyen, B. T., Horner, J. S., Papazoglu, C., Bronson, R. T., Mills, A. A., and Attardi, L. D. (2005) *Cell* **120**, 843–856
- Attardi, L. D., Reczek, E. E., Cosmas, C., Demicco, E. G., McCurrach, M. E., Lowe, S. W., and Jacks, T. (2000) *Genes Dev.* 14, 704–718
- 12. Ihrie, R. A., Reczek, E., Horner, J. S., Khachatrian, L., Sage, J., Jacks, T., and Attardi, L. D. (2003) *Curr. Biol.* **13**, 1985–1990
- Reczek, E. E., Flores, E. R., Tsay, A. S., Attardi, L. D., and Jacks, T. (2003) Mol. Cancer Res. 1, 1048–1057
- Beaudry, V. G., Jiang, D., Dusek, R. L., Park, E. J., Knezevich, S., Ridd, K., Vogel, H., Bastian, B. C., and Attardi, L. D. (2010) *PLoS Genet.* 6, e1001168
- Brunner, H. G., Hamel, B. C., and Van Bokhoven, H. (2002) J. Med. Genet. 39, 377–381
- Celli, J., Duijf, P., Hamel, B. C., Bamshad, M., Kramer, B., Smits, A. P., Newbury-Ecob, R., Hennekam, R. C., Van Buggenhout, G., van Haeringen, A., Woods, C. G., van Essen, A. J., de Waal, R., Vriend, G., Haber, D. A., Yang, A., McKeon, F., Brunner, H. G., and van Bokhoven, H. (1999) *Cell* 99, 143–153
- McGrath, J. A., Duijf, P. H., Doetsch, V., Irvine, A. D., de Waal, R., Vanmolkot, K. R., Wessagowit, V., Kelly, A., Atherton, D. J., Griffiths, W. A., Orlow, S. J., van Haeringen, A., Ausems, M. G., Yang, A., McKeon, F., Bamshad, M. A., Brunner, H. G., Hamel, B. C., and van Bokhoven, H. (2001) *Hum. Mol. Genet.* 10, 221–229
- Beaudry, V. G., Pathak, N., Koster, M. I., and Attardi, L. D. (2009) Am. J. Med. Genet. 149A, 1952–1957
- 19. Dobreva, G., Dambacher, J., and Grosschedl, R. (2003) Genes Dev. 17,



3048-3061

- 20. Cai, S., Han, H. J., and Kohwi-Shigematsu, T. (2003) Nat. Genet. 34, 42-51
- 21. Cai, S., Lee, C. C., and Kohwi-Shigematsu, T. (2006) Nat. Genet. 38, 1278-1288
- Dobreva, G., Chahrour, M., Dautzenberg, M., Chirivella, L., Kanzler, B., Fariñas, I., Karsenty, G., and Grosschedl, R. (2006) *Cell* 125, 971–986
- 23. Britanova, O., Depew, M. J., Schwark, M., Thomas, B. L., Miletich, I., Sharpe, P., and Tarabykin, V. (2006) *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* **79**, 668 – 678
- FitzPatrick, D. R., Carr, I. M., McLaren, L., Leek, J. P., Wightman, P., Williamson, K., Gautier, P., McGill, N., Hayward, C., Firth, H., Markham, A. F., Fantes, J. A., and Bonthron, D. T. (2003) *Hum. Mol. Genet.* 12, 2491–2501
- Vieira, A. R., Avila, J. R., Daack-Hirsch, S., Dragan, E., Félix, T. M., Rahimov, F., Harrington, J., Schultz, R. R., Watanabe, Y., Johnson, M., Fang, J., O'Brien, S. E., Orioli, I. M., Castilla, E. E., Fitzpatrick, D. R., Jiang, R., Marazita, M. L., and Murray, J. C. (2005) *PLoS Genet.* 1, e64
- Chung, J., Lau, J., Cheng, L. S., Grant, R. I., Robinson, F., Ketela, T., Reis, P. P., Roche, O., Kamel-Reid, S., Moffat, J., Ohh, M., Perez-Ordonez, B., Kaplan, D. R., and Irwin, M. S. (2010) *EMBO Rep.* **11**, 777–783
- Thomason, H. A., Dixon, M. J., and Dixon, J. (2008) Dev. Biol. 321, 273–282
- Laurikkala, J., Mikkola, M. L., James, M., Tummers, M., Mills, A. A., and Thesleff, I. (2006) *Development* 133, 1553–1563
- 29. Chai, Y., and Maxson, R. E., Jr. (2006) Dev. Dyn. 235, 2353-2375
- Dugani, C. B., Paquin, A., Fujitani, M., Kaplan, D. R., and Miller, F. D. (2009) J. Neurosci. 29, 6710–6721
- Jacobs, W. B., Govoni, G., Ho, D., Atwal, J. K., Barnabe-Heider, F., Keyes, W. M., Mills, A. A., Miller, F. D., and Kaplan, D. R. (2005) *Neuron* 48, 743–756
- Irwin, M., Marin, M. C., Phillips, A. C., Seelan, R. S., Smith, D. I., Liu, W., Flores, E. R., Tsai, K. Y., Jacks, T., Vousden, K. H., and Kaelin, W. G., Jr. (2000) *Nature* 407, 645–648
- Davison, T. S., Yin, P., Nie, E., Kay, C., and Arrowsmith, C. H. (1998) Oncogene 17, 651–656
- Moretti, F., Marinari, B., Lo Iacono, N., Botti, E., Giunta, A., Spallone, G., Garaffo, G., Vernersson-Lindahl, E., Merlo, G., Mills, A. A., Ballarò, C., Alemà, S., Chimenti, S., Guerrini, L., and Costanzo, A. (2010) *J. Clin. Invest.* 120, 1570–1577

- Thomason, H. A., Zhou, H., Kouwenhoven, E. N., Dotto, G. P., Restivo, G., Nguyen, B. C., Little, H., Dixon, M. J., van Bokhoven, H., and Dixon, J. (2010) *J. Clin. Invest.* **120**, 1561–1569
- 36. Rinne, T., Brunner, H. G., and van Bokhoven, H. (2007) *Cell Cycle* 6, 262–268
- Soussi, T., Ishioka, C., Claustres, M., and Béroud, C. (2006) Nat. Rev. Cancer 6, 83–90
- Cicero, D. O., Falconi, M., Candi, E., Mele, S., Cadot, B., Di Venere, A., Rufini, S., Melino, G., and Desideri, A. (2006) *Cell Biochem. Biophys.* 44, 475–489
- 39. Chi, S. W., Ayed, A., and Arrowsmith, C. H. (1999) *EMBO J.* 18, 4438-4445
- 40. Bellomaria, A., Barbato, G., Melino, G., Paci, M., and Melino, S. (2010) *Cell Cycle* **9**, 3730–3739
- Browne, G., Cipollone, R., Lena, A. M., Serra, V., Zhou, H., van Bokhoven, H., Dötsch, V., Merico, D., Mantovani, R., Terrinoni, A., Knight, R. A., Candi, E., and Melino, G. (2011) *J. Cell Sci.* **124**, 2200–2207
- 42. Minoux, M., and Rijli, F. M. (2010) Development 137, 2605-2621
- 43. Kaustov, L., Lukin, J., Lemak, A., Duan, S., Ho, M., Doherty, R., Penn, L. Z., and Arrowsmith, C. H. (2007) *J. Biol. Chem.* **282**, 11300–11307
- Ou, H. D., Löhr, F., Vogel, V., Mäntele, W., and Dötsch, V. (2007) *EMBO* J. 26, 3463–3473
- Yang, A., Kaghad, M., Wang, Y., Gillett, E., Fleming, M. D., Dötsch, V., Andrews, N. C., Caput, D., and McKeon, F. (1998) *Molecular cell* 2, 305–316
- Deutsch, G. B., Zielonka, E. M., Coutandin, D., Weber, T. A., Schäfer, B., Hannewald, J., Luh, L. M., Durst, F. G., Ibrahim, M., Hoffmann, J., Niesen, F. H., Sentürk, A., Kunkel, H., Brutschy, B., Schleiff, E., Knapp, S., Acker-Palmer, A., Grez, M., McKeon, F., and Dötsch, V. (2011) *Cell* 144, 566–576
- Sathyamurthy, A., Freund, S. M., Johnson, C. M., Allen, M. D., and Bycroft, M. (2011) *FEBS J.* 278, 2680–2688
- Coutandin, D., Löhr, F., Niesen, F. H., Ikeya, T., Weber, T. A., Schäfer, B., Zielonka, E. M., Bullock, A. N., Yang, A., Güntert, P., Knapp, S., McKeon, F., Ou, H. D., and Dötsch, V. (2009) *Cell Death Differ.* 16, 1582–1589
- Laptenko, O., Beckerman, R., Freulich, E., and Prives, C. (2011) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 108, 10385–10390

